Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Influence of hydrostatic pressure upon the energy level scheme of Eu^{3+} in an La_2O_2S crystal

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1992 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 4 6491

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/4/30/017)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.159 The article was downloaded on 12/05/2010 at 12:24

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Influence of hydrostatic pressure upon the energy level scheme of Eu³⁺ in an La₂O₂S crystal

Wang Qiuping[†], Lun Lijun[†], Zhang Dingzheng[†], Chi Yuanbin[‡] and Wang Lizhong[‡]

† Physics Department of Harbin Normal University, Harbin, People's Republic of China ‡ Institute of Atomic and Molecular Physics, Jilin University, Changchun, People's Republic of China

Received 7 October 1991, in final form 5 February 1992

Abstract. The fluorescence spectra of Eu^{3+} in an La₂O₂S crystal have been measured at room temperature and under hydrostatic pressures up to 13 GPa. The pressure dependence of the energy levels of Eu³⁺ in La₂O₂S has been determined. The observed crystal-field levels shift upwards or downwards with increasing pressure and undergo a distinct change in shift rates at about 10 GPa. The relative shift rates of the observed centroids of ⁵D₀₋₂ multiplets are about the same. Simulations of the observed energy levels of Eu^{3+} in Y₂O₂S crystals have also been carried out in order to make a comparison between the results for Y2O2S:Eu3+ and those for La2O2S:Eu3+. Of the six crystal-field parameters, only B_0^2 shows a somewhat puzzling change with increasing pressure and decreasing internuclear distances due to different isomorphous hosts. In the pressure range up to 13 GPa, the Slater parameters F_2 , F_4 and F_6 show a decrease of 0.38(9)%, 0.38(0)% and 0.38(3)%, respectively; the spin-orbit coupling parameter (decreases by 0.20%. In order to understand the regular decrease in the ${}^{5}D_{0-2}$ multiplets with increasing pressure, we have inspected the calculated free-ion energy levels under different pressures and found that the energy E of any excited level can be related to pressure P by $E = E_0(1 - cP)$, in which $c = 2.90(\pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$ GPa⁻¹.

1. Introduction

There are two powerful experimental methods used to understand quantitatively the splitting and shift of the energy levels of lanthanide ions embedded in crystal. The first is to measure the energy levels of a given lanthanide ion in various isostructural host lattices and to study the change in the crystal field caused by different interatomic distances [1]. In this method, however, the presence of different ligands and local distortions around the lanthanide ion in each host and the limited number of isostructural crystals available for spectroscopic studies restrict the information observed. The second is to apply a high pressure to the lanthanide-ion-doped crystals and thereby to study the pressure and internuclear distance dependence of the crystal field directly. In this research field, much work has been carried out in recent years and valuable results obtained [2–8].

The spectra of Eu^{3+} in an La_2O_2S crystal have been studied previously [9, 10]. The space group of the La_2O_2S crystal lattice is D_{3d}^3 [11] and, under normal pressure, the interatomic distances are as follows: La–S, 3.07 Å; La–O, 2.38 Å; La–O', 2.41 Å (the distance to the O atom on the threefold symmetry axis). The Eu³⁺ impurities in La_2O_2S will replace La^{3+} ions, be coordinated to three sulphur and four oxygen ions and have C_{3v} site symmetry.

In this work, we measured the fluorescence spectra of $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ at room temperature and high hydrostatic pressures up to 13 GPa. On the basis of the observed Stark levels and the multiplet centroids at different pressures, we carried out crystal-field and centroid calculations and obtained the pressure dependence of the crystal-field and free-ion parameters. The pressure effects on the energy levels for Eu^{3+} in La_2O_2S and on the crystal-field and free-ion parameters are discussed in this paper.

2. Theory and fitting procedure

When a free ion is embedded in a crystal, the crystal-field potential, represented by a Hamiltonian $H_{\rm ef}$, will contribute to the Hamiltonian of the ion. Then the Hamiltonian of the ion can be written as [12]

$$H = H_{\rm fi} + H_{\rm cf} \tag{1}$$

in which $H_{\rm fi}$ is the free-ion Hamiltonian expressed in terms of the Slater parameters F_k , the spin-orbit interaction parameter ζ and the configuration interaction parameters α , β and γ ; $H_{\rm cf}$ is the crystal-field Hamiltonian expressed in terms of the crystal-field parameters B_q^k . To determine these empirical parameters, we performed the calculations in two steps. First, the free-ion parameters are adjusted to fit the experimental multiplet centroids and then the crystal-field parameters are adjusted to fit the experimental crystal-field levels.

2.1. Centroid calculations

In the centroid calculations the Coulomb and the spin-orbit interactions were taken into account but the configuration interaction was neglected [7] by setting $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$. Wybourne [13] has calculated the matrix elements of the Coulomb interaction which can be expressed in terms of the Slater parameters F_2 , F_4 and F_6 . The matrix elements of the spin-orbit interaction were calculated with the help of the relationship given in [12]. Intermediate coupling was considered in our calculations.

2.2. Crystal-field calculations

For Eu³⁺ in C_{3v} site symmetry, the crystal-field Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$H_{\rm cf} = B_0^2 C_0^2 + B_0^4 C_0^4 + B_3^4 (C_{-3}^4 - C_3^4) + B_0^6 C_0^6 + B_3^6 (C_{-3}^6 - C_3^6) + B_6^6 (C_{-6}^6 + C_6^6)$$
(2)

 $H_{\rm fi}$ in equation (1) was treated as a variable intermediate parameter (one for each multiplet) in the crystal-field calculations.

In view of the poor simulations in the past for excited ⁵D levels and the conclusion [1] that, for strongly mixed levels (e.g. ⁵D and ⁵G), poor simulation should be anticipated, we carried out the crystal-field calculations on the 49 ⁷F_J bases, 25 ⁵D_J bases and 45 ⁵G_J bases. The ⁵G term was involved because its energy levels are very close to, and strongly mixed with, the energy levels of the lowest ⁵D_J levels. In the calculations, the five intermediate parameters for ⁵G_J multiplets were fixed to reasonable

values. In calculating the matrix elements of H_{cf} , we used the intermediate-coupling wavefunctions of Eu³⁺ which included all the compositions of septet and quintet states and some compositions of the triplet states that mix strongly with the 5D_J states. The *J*-mixing caused by the crystal field was also taken into account by including the non-diagonal matrix elements between the states with different *J*-values, but the non-diagonal matrix elements between the 7F_J states and the excited states (of 5D_J and 5G_J) were omitted. Our work showed that this omission had almost no influence on the results of the calculations. Then the resulting 119 × 119 matrix appears as the direct sum of a 49 × 49 matrix and a 70 × 70 matrix.

3. Experiments and results

The sample of polycrystalline $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ (4.7 mol%) was provided by the Changchun Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica. An x-ray diffraction experiment showed that the space group of the sample is D_{3d}^3 .

The fluorescence spectra of the sample were recorded at room temperature and different pressures. The hydrostatic pressure was generated by a gasketed diamondanvil cell [14] in combination with the ruby luminescence method for pressure determination [15]. A methanol-ethanol-water mixture (methanol:ethanol:water=16:3:1) was used as the pressure medium which assures a truly hydrostatic pressure up to about 14 GPa. The 4579 Å line of an argon ion laser was used to excite the sample. The fluorescence spectra were recorded with a Spex-1403 Ramalog instrument.

107 fluorescence lines in the range 11 500–21 500 cm⁻¹ have been assigned to transitions between the crystal-field components of ${}^{5}D_{0-2}$ and ${}^{7}F_{0-6}$ multiplets with the help of the previous results [9, 16]. At room temperature, the number of the fluorescence lines recorded at a high pressure is larger than the number of the lines recorded at ambient pressure. Some ${}^{5}D_{2}-{}^{7}F_{5.6}$ lines present at 77 K and absent at room temperature and ambient pressure appeared again at about 1 GPa and room temperature. These 'high-pressure lines', in our opinion, are due to the upward shift of the charge-transfer states with increasing pressure [10]. This shift makes it difficult for 4f electrons to migrate from ${}^{5}D_{2}$ to ${}^{5}D_{1}$ and ${}^{5}D_{0}$ and strengthens the ${}^{5}D_{2}-{}^{7}F_{J}$ transitions. All the fluorescence lines shifted to the red and became increasingly weaker with increasing pressure. Above 13 GPa, some lines were so weak that their peak positions could not be determined. The pressure dependences of some lines are shown in figure 1.

4. Pressure effect on the crystal-field interaction

4.1. Energy levels

The pressure dependences of the 26 crystal-field levels were deduced from the fluorescence lines observed at different pressures and are shown in figure 2. Under high pressures, the crystal-field components of ${}^{7}F_{0-5}$ multiplets shifted upwards or downwards and all the crystal-field components of ${}^{5}D_{0-2}$ multiplets moved downwards at about the same rate. The shifts in the ${}^{5}D$ levels with pressure are much more rapid than those in the ${}^{7}F$ levels. It should be noted that most of the observed levels show special changes in shifts at about 10 GPa and the splittings of the ${}^{7}F_{1}$ and ${}^{5}D_{1}$ multiplets decrease with increasing pressure below 10 GPa and increase thereafter. 6494



Figure 1. The pressure effect on the ${}^5D_0 - {}^7F_4$ transition lines of La₂O₂S:Eu³⁺.

These changes in the shifts of the levels, in our opinion, are caused by a sudden distortion of the crystal lattice of the La_2O_2S host at about 10 GPa. In view of the fact that no fluorescence anomalies happened in the experiments, we believe that the lattice distortion did not disturb the site symmetry of the Eu³⁺, although it changed the pressure dependence of the crystal field. This lattice distortion should be checked by x-ray diffraction experiments at high pressures.

4.2. Crystal-field parameters

The pressure effect on B_q^k for Eu³⁺ in La₂O₂S was studied by fitting B_q^k to the crystal-field components of the ${}^7F_{0-4}$ and ${}^5D_{0-2}$ multiplets observed at various pressures. The best-fit values of B_q^k at different pressures are listed in table 1. These B_q^k sets reproduce the experimental crystal-field levels quite well. The deviation between the calculated and the observed Stark levels of the 5D multiplets is not larger than that of the 7F multiplets. The RMS deviations of the calculations are about 6 cm⁻¹ and no major discrepancies occur. The comparison between the calculated and the observed Stark levels 3.

In order to make a comparison between the isomorphous $Y_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ and $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$, we also carried out crystal-field calculations for Eu^{3+} in a Y_2O_2S host with the help of the previously observed crystal-field levels under hydrostatic pressures up to 10 GPa [6]. The best-fit B_{σ}^k sets are listed in table 2.

	P = 1 atm	$P=3~{ m GPa}$	P = 6 GPa	P = 9 GPa	P = 13 GPa
$B_0^2 \ ({\rm cm}^{-1})$	58	54	40	29	39
B_0^{4} (cm ⁻¹)	762	776	718	696	727
B_{3}^{4} (cm ⁻¹)	922	962	1028	1078	1118
B_0^{6} (cm ⁻¹)	452	459	504	531	556
B_{3}^{6} (cm ⁻¹)	-182	-202	-192	201	-215
B_{e}^{6} (cm ⁻¹)	369	398	412	404	431
$S(cm^{-1})$	314	326	338	349	363
τ (cm ⁻¹)	5.9	6.1	5.8	6.2	6.3

Table 1. The best-fit B_q^k sets, the crystal-field strength parameter S and the RMS deviation σ of the calculations for La₂O₂S:Eu³⁺ at various pressures.



Energy (cm⁻¹)

Figure 2. The pressure dependence of the 26 crystal-field levels of ${}^7F_{0-6}$ and ${}^5D_{0-2}$ multiplets for Eu³⁺ in La₂O₂S. The broken curves represent the pressure dependence of the multiplet centroids. The letters in parentheses correspond to the irreducible representations of the C_{3v} point group to which the levels were assigned.

The values of B_q^k in table 2 are quite different from the previous results reported in [6]. For example, in [6], $B_0^2 = 83$, $B_0^4 = 1100$, $B_3^4 = 882$, $B_0^6 = 325$, $B_3^6 = -378$ and $B_6^6 = 524$ at ambient pressure. The difference between these two results is

	P = 1 atm	P = 2.5 GPa	P = 5 GPa	P = 7.5 GPa	P = 10 GPa
	······	<u>n .d.abbelgs</u> . akala <u>kis</u> analari	<u></u>		
$B_0^2 \ ({\rm cm}^{-1})$	117	99	77	62	43
B_0^{4} (cm ⁻¹)	692	690	691	702	710
B_3^4 (cm ⁻¹)	1107	1136	1170	1190	1222
B_0^6 (cm ⁻¹)	491	501	521	526	534
B_3^{δ} (cm ⁻¹)	-335	-337 -	-343	345	349
B_{e}^{6} (cm ⁻¹)	461	469	480	495	510
$S(m^{-1})$	364	370	380	386	395
σ (cm ⁻¹)	5.7	5.8	6.1	6.0	6.2

Table 2. The best-fit B_q^k sets, the crystal-field strength parameter S and the RMS deviation σ of the calculations for Y₂O₂S:Eu³⁺ at various pressures.

significant although the pressure dependences of B_q^k in these two results are similar. In our opinion, this difference is due to the addition of ⁵D levels in the present crystal-field calculations and means that in determining the crystal-field parameters the crystal-field splittings of highly excited states are not negligible.

The B_q^k for La₂O₂S:Eu³⁺ and Y₂O₂S:Eu³⁺ all undergo similar changes under pressure; if a particular B_q^k is larger (or smaller) for Y₂O₂S:Eu³⁺ than for La₂O₂S:Eu³⁺, then it will increase (or decrease) with increasing pressure. This seems reasonable in view of the facts that La₂O₂S and Y₂O₂S have the same crystalline structure and that the internuclear distances are larger in the La₂O₂S crystal than in the Y₂O₂S crystal [16]. However, there is evidently a different situation for B_0^2 .

 B_0^2 is larger for $Y_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ than for $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ at room temperature and ambient pressure, which means that we expect B_0^2 to increase with increasing pressure. However, in fact, B_0^2 decreases greatly with increasing pressure below 10 GPa for both $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ and $Y_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$. This kind of evolution of B_0^2 is difficult to understand when we consider the previous results for Eu^{3+} -doped LnOX (X = Cl, Br, I) [1, 2, 4]. For this series, B_0^2 did not show any particular behaviour and decreased with decreasing internuclear distance [1] and increasing pressure [2, 4]. The puzzling behaviour of B_0^2 for the La_2O_2S and Y_2O_2S hosts indicates that the influence of the decrease in internuclear distances due to different isomorphous hosts upon the crystal field is not always equivalent to the decrease in internuclear distances lue to a high pressure. At present, we are not able to explain this complex nature of B_0^2 for the La_2O_2S hosts.

4.3. Crystal-field strength

Now we shall study the influence of pressure on the crystal field in $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ and $Y_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ in more detail. To do this, we consider B_q^k as the components of a generalized vector B^k and utilize the length of B_q^k to weight the crystal-field strength [17]. The crystal-field strength parameter S is derived from the B_q^k set according to [18]

$$S = \left[\frac{1}{3}\sum_{k}\frac{1}{2k+1}\left((B_{0}^{k})^{2} + 2\sum_{q>0}\left[(\operatorname{Re}B_{q}^{k})^{2} + (\operatorname{Im}B_{q}^{k})^{2}\right]\right)\right]^{1/2}.$$
(3)

This parameter reflects the averaged (or total) effect of the crystal-field interaction. The values of S for $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ and $Y_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ at different pressures are listed in

Table 3. The values of R_k and A_k at ambient pressure and 13 GPa. R is the ratio of the crystal-field strength in La₂O₂S:Eu³⁺ at ambient pressure and 13 GPa to the crystal-field strength in Y₂O₂S:Eu³⁺ at ambient pressure.

P	R ₂	R4	R ₆	A ₂	A4	A ₆	R
	(deg)	(deg)	(deg)	(deg)	(deg)	(deg)	(deg)
1 atm	1.98	1.13	1.28	0	6.49	10.29	1.16
13 GPa	3.00	0.98	1.07	0	1.10	10.56	1.00

tables 1 and 2, respectively. S is always larger for $Y_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ than for $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ and increases with increasing pressure for both of them. This means that the increase in pressure and the decrease in internuclear distances have strengthened the crystal field in $Y_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ and $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$. However, it is not always the case. The effect of the pressure or the decrease in internuclear distances on the crystal field, according to other researchers, seems to depend on the crystalline structure of the host lattice. For example, the crystal fields in Eu^{3+} -doped rare-earth oxyhalides LnOX (X = Cl, Br, I) were weakened by decreasing internuclear distances [1] and increasing pressure [4].

It should be noted that the value of S for $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ at 13 GPa approaches the value of S for $Y_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ at ambient pressure. This result seems reasonable and indicates that, with increasing pressure, the crystal field in $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ becomes close to the crystal field in $Y_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ at ambient pressure. The similarity between these two crystal fields can be described in more detail by a scale factor

$$R_k = |B_{\rm Y}^k| / |B_{\rm La}^k| \tag{4}$$

and the angle between the two generalized vectors B_{Y}^{k} and B_{La}^{k}

$$A_k = \cos^{-1}(B_{\mathrm{La}}^k \cdot B_{\mathrm{Y}}^k / |B_{\mathrm{La}}^k| |B_{\mathrm{Y}}^k|)$$
(5)

where B_{La}^k is the B^k vector for $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ at various pressures and B_Y^k is the B^k -vector for $Y_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ at ambient pressure. Identity of the two crystal fields would correspond to $R_k = 1$ and $A_k = 0$. From table 3 we can see that R_4 , R_6 and R become close to unity and that A_4 is reduced to 1.10° at 13 GPa. The similarity between the crystal field in $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ at 13 GPa and the crystal field in $Y_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ at ambient pressure is obvious, although R_2 and A_6 are still large at 13 GPa. We think that the increases in R_2 and A_6 with increasing pressure are mainly due to the lattice distortion of the La_2O_2S crystal at high pressures and imply that the difference between the local environments of Eu^{3+} in the La_2O_2S and Y_2O_2S hosts became greater in some aspects as the pressure increased.

5. Pressure effect on free ions

5.1. Free-ion energy levels

The pressure dependence of the eight centroids of the ${}^{7}F_{0-4}$ and ${}^{5}D_{0-2}$ multiplets was deduced from the observed crystal-field levels of Eu³⁺ in La₂O₂S in the pressure range up to 13 GPa and is shown in figure 2 by broken curves. All the centroids decrease linearly with increasing pressure. It should be noted that the shifts of the ${}^{5}D$ multiplets are much larger than those of the ${}^{7}F$ multiplets and that the relative shifts of the three ⁵D multiplets are about the same. Up to 13 GPa, the ⁵D₀, ⁵D₁ and ⁵D₂ centroids shift by -0.40(7)%, -0.39(1)% and -0.39(6)%, respectively. The ⁷F centroids show no regular decrease as the ⁵D centroids do. The large regular decrease in the ⁵D centroids is obviously caused by the decreases in the Coulomb and spin-orbit interactions with increasing pressure. For ⁷F multiplets, *J*-mixing is much stronger and tends to shift the free-ion energy levels irregularly. So there is no regularity in the shifts of the ⁷F centroids.

5.2. Free-ion parameters

The pressure dependence of the best-fit F_k and ζ for Eu³⁺ in the La₂O₂S host is shown in figure 4. The RMS deviation between the calculated and the observed multiplet centroids is about 60 cm⁻¹ and shows a slight increase with increasing pressure. At ambient pressure, $F_2 = 395.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $F_4 = 54.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $F_6 = 5.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\zeta = 1333.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Compared with $F_2 = 395.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\zeta = 1326 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ reported in [16], only ζ shows a notable increase which, in our opinion, was mainly caused by the lack of ${}^7\text{F}_5$, ${}^7\text{F}_6$ and ${}^5\text{D}_3$ multiplets in our calculation. Up to 13 GPa, the relative shifts in F_k and ζ are about 0.38% and 0.20%, respectively. The shifts in F_k are twice the shift in ζ . The reason for the decreases in F_k and ζ is the redistribution of the 4f electron clouds under pressure and, as a result, the decreases in the Coulomb and spin-orbit interaction energies.

According to the nephelauxetic model [19], if a lanthanide ion is embedded in a crystal, the 4f electron clouds expand, and F_k and ζ decrease. Up to now, the mechanism of this cloud expansion has not been beyond dispute [7]. Every proposed mechanism has its strong and weak points. In our opinion, the symmetry-restricted covalency mechanism is the most reasonable. According to this mechanism, the cloud expansion is caused by the symmetry-restricted covalency of the 4f electrons. In general, this covalency increases, and F_k and ζ decrease, when the internuclear distances decrease with increasing pressure, which is in accordance with our observed result for Eu³⁺ (figure 4). In an averaged version of this model [20, 21], the relative decrease in ζ should be half that in F_k , which is in fair agreement with our observed decreases of 0.39% and 0.20% in F_k and ζ , respectively, from ambient pressure to 13 GPa.

5.3. The regularity in the shift of the excited energy levels with increasing pressure

From figure 2, we found that the relative shifts of the ${}^{5}D_{0-2}$ multiplet centroids are about the same, i.e. the higher a level the larger is its rate of decrease. This regularity can also be noted in the shifts in the ${}^{3}D_{2}$, ${}^{3}P_{0}$ and ${}^{3}P_{1}$ multiplets of the Pr^{3+} ion with increasing pressure [7].

We can also study this regularity by inspection of the calculated energy levels at different pressures because the RMS deviation between the calculated and the observed levels remains about the same at high pressures, and, as a result, the pressure dependence of the calculated levels should be the same as that of the observed levels. We have inspected the calculated free-ion energy levels for Eu^{3+} in the La_2O_2S host in the pressure range up to 13 GPa and found that the energy E of any level can be related to the hydrostatic pressure P by

$$E = E_0(1 - cP) \tag{6}$$

where E_0 is the energy of the level at ambient pressure and c is the relative shift rate of the level, which is the same for all the calculated levels. For Eu³⁺ in the La₂O₂S host, $c = 2.90(\pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$ GPa⁻¹.





Figure 3. The comparison of observed and calculated CF splittings of ${}^7F_{1-4}$ and ${}^5D_{1-2}$ for La₂O₂S:Eu³⁺.

Figure 4. The pressure dependence of the Slater parameters F_k and the spin-orbit parameter ζ for Eu³⁺ in La₂O₂S.

6. Discussion and conclusion

In the crystal-field calculations, we considered the levels of ${}^{7}F_{J}$ and the lowest ${}^{5}D_{J}$ and ${}^{5}G_{J}$ multiplets as the basis set; we used the intermediate-coupling wavefunctions, including all the compositions of septet and quintet states and some compositions of triplet states having strong coupling to the ${}^{5}D_{J}$ states, to calculate the matrix elements of H_{cf} . In this way, the simulations for the ${}^{5}D_{J}$ levels were greatly improved. The agreement between the calculated and the observed crystal-field components of the ${}^{5}D_{0-2}$ multiplets was unexpectedly satisfactory. This result implies that the J-mixing between the ${}^{5}D$ states and the ${}^{5}G$ states may have a strong influence upon the positions of the ${}^{5}D$ levels.

The crystal-field parameter B_0^2 showed an increase along the La₂O₂S, Gd₂O₂S, Y₂O₂S series [22] which demonstrates a decrease in the internuclear distances. However, B_0^2 decreases with increasing pressure (tables 1 and 2). Therefore, we conclude that the decrease in the internuclear distance due to pressure, in some cases, has a different influence upon the crystal field from the decrease in internuclear distance due to different isomorphous hosts. This result indicates that a high-pressure study of the crystal field is essential.

However, as for the crystal-field strength and the nephelauxetic effect, the pressure

seems to have the same effect as the interatomic distance decrease due to different isomorphous hosts. For example, according to our calculations, $F_2 = 395.60 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and 394.52 cm^{-1} for Eu³⁺ in the La₂O₂S host at ambient pressure and at 9 GPa, respectively; $F_2 = 394.58 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for Eu³⁺ in the Y₂O₂S host at ambient pressure. The reason for this, in our opinion, is that the crystal-field strength and nephelauxetic effect are predominantly determined by ligands. For B_0^2 , according to the superposition model [7], the influence from the more distant ions cannot always be neglected. Therefore, the pressure dependence of B_0^2 should be more complex than the pressure dependences of the higher-order crystal-field parameters, the crystal-field strength and the nephelauxetic effect.

The crystal-field strength and the nephelauxetic effect in $La_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ and $Y_2O_2S:Eu^{3+}$ were strengthened by increasing pressure and decreasing internuclear distances, which is in accordance with the result [7] that the crystal field is to a large extent dominated by overlap and covalency effects and the assumption that the nephelauxetic effect is caused by the covalency of 4f electrons. The result of our calculation also verified the prediction of the covalency model that the nephelauxetic decrease in ζ should be half the decrease in F_k but, in view of the weak points of the covalency model [7], much work should be carried out to improve the model.

References

- [1] Hölsä J and Porcher P 1982 J. Chem. Phys. 76 2790
- [2] Chi Yuanbin, Liu Shensin, Shen Wufu, Wang Lizhong and Zou Guangtian 1986 Physica B 139-40 555
- [3] Chi Yuanbin, Liu Shensin, Zhang Huoyi and Wang Lizhong 1988 J. Lumin. 40-1 303
- [4] Chi Yuanbin, Liu Shensin, Wang Qiuping, Wang Lizhong and Zou Guangtian 1990 High Pressure Res. 3 150
- [5] Liu Shensin, Chi Yuanbin, Ma Long, Wang Lizhong and Zou Guangtian 1986 Physica B 139-40 559
- [6] Liu Shensin, Chi Yuanbin and Wang Lizhong 1988 J. Lumin. 40-1 395
- [7] Gregorian T, d'Amour-Sturm H and Holzapfel W B 1989 Phys. Rev. B 39 12 497
- [8] Wang Qiuping, Chi Yuanbin, Liu Shensin and Wang Lizhong 1989 Proc. 2nd Int. Symp. on Rare Earths Spectroscopy (Singapore: World Scientific) p 253
- [9] Alves R V, Pearson J J, Wichersheim K A and Buchanai R A 1970 Proc. 8th Rare Earth Research Conf. (Reno, NV, 1970) p 233
- [10] Webster G and Drickamer H G 1980 J. Chem. Phys. 72 3740
- [11] Zachariasen W H 1949 Acta Crystallogr. 2 60
- [12] Wybourne B G 1965 Spectroscopic Properties of Rare Earths (New York: Wiley) p 77
- [13] Wybourne B G 1961 J. Chem. Phys. 35 340
- [14] Wang Lizhong, Dong Weiyi, Jin Zengsun, Cui Qiliang and Lu Xianyi 1984 Acta Sci. Natur. Univ. Influensis 3 63
- [15] Piermarini G J, Block S and Barnett J D 1973 J. Appl. Phys. 44 5377
- [16] Sovers O J and Yoshioka T 1968 J. Chem. Phys. 49 4945
- [17] Faucher M and Garcia D 1982 Phys. Rev. B 26 5451
- [18] Chang N C, Gruber J B, Leavitt R P and Morrison C A 1982 J. Chem. Phys. 76 3877
- [19] Joygensen C K and Reisfeld R 1977 Lasers and Excited States of Rare Earths (Berlin: Springer) p 153
- Yatsimírskii K B and Davidenko N K 1979 Coordinat. Chem. Rev. 27 223
 Davidenko N K and Yatsimirskii K B 1970 Teor. Eksp. Khůn. 6 620
 Yatsimirskii K B, Sheka Z A and Sinyavskaya F I 1970 Teor. Eksp. Khůn. 6 192
- [21] Henrie D E and Choppin G R 1968 J. Chem. Phys. 49 477
- [22] Sovers O J and Yoshioka T 1969 J. Cham. Phys. 51 5330